

Commercial Contracts in the Time of Coronavirus: Am I still required to perform?

by Meghan E. Tepas

A FREEBORN & PETERS LLP CLIENT ALERT



ABOUT THIS CLIENT ALERT

The outbreak of COVID-19 has disrupted commercial transactions across the state and the world and will continue to do so. The response to the outbreak and resulting market consequences will undoubtedly make it more difficult for some companies to comply with their contractual obligations. This Alert discusses the possibility that force majeure clauses and the impossibility doctrine may excuse performance under certain circumstances.

In a matter of days, COVID-19 has upended the regular operation of business for many companies across Illinois and the world. As more and more people are staying inside their homes, businesses are losing customers and in some cases, are being forced by government mandates to close their doors to the public all together. Inevitably, this new environment is going to make it difficult for some businesses to continue to meet their obligations under contracts they entered into prior to the outbreak of the virus.

Take for example the scenario in which a bar or restaurant had, prior to the outbreak, contracted to purchase so many units of beer from a distributor but following a government mandate closing all bars and restaurants, the bar/restaurant no longer has a need for the product. Or the situation in which an organization contracted with a catering company to cater its annual

conference, but it can no longer hold the conference due to restrictions against large gatherings. Whether the current crisis will excuse performance and relieve businesses of legal liability for failing to perform in situations like these and others will depend on the specific language of the contract at issue and the effect the outbreak has on the obligation to be performed.

What does the contract provide?

Often commercial contracts will contain a “force majeure clause” that is intended to allocate risk in the face of unanticipated events. Typically these clauses contain boilerplate language providing that performance is excused during the occurrence of an act of God, war, action or inaction of a government authority, terrorist acts, civil commotion, riots, strikes, picketing, or extreme adverse weather which greatly impacts a party’s ability to perform. Some contracts

articulate even more specific categories of events that would constitute a force majeure event, including “public health emergency” or “pandemics,” for example.

In addition to defining what constitutes a force majeure event, a force majeure clause will likely also identify the effect such an event must have before a party can invoke its protection. For example, the contract may provide that the unforeseen event must make performance “impossible,” or it may require that the event merely “hinder or delay performance” before a party may be excused from performance. Thus, in order to cancel a contract containing a force majeure clause on the basis of unforeseen circumstances resulting from the Coronavirus outbreak, a party must establish both a force majeure event occurred as that term is defined in the agreement and that the event had the required effect on performance.

Courts across the United States have historically interpreted force majeure provisions narrowly, and are often reluctant to relieve parties from their contractual obligations. However, in these unprecedented times, force majeure clauses in contracts may provide an avenue of relief to businesses who find that the Coronavirus has made compliance simply unworkable. Businesses worried about their ability to perform and the ability of their customers or partners to perform under prior contractual agreements should carefully review their contracts to assess the applicability of their force majeure clauses to the current situation.

What if my contract does not have a force majeure clause?

If your contract does not contain a force majeure clause or if the force majeure clause is not broad enough to cover public health emergencies, a party may still be relieved from its obligations under the “impossibility doctrine.” In Illinois, this doctrine operates to excuse performance of a contract when performance is rendered objectively impossible either because the subject matter of the contract has been destroyed or by operation of law. The bar to establish impossibility is high, and is not available when performance is made simply more difficult or more expensive.

Take the examples noted above. In the first, the government shut down of all bars and restaurants will likely make it more difficult for the bar/restaurant to pay for the ordered product because it no longer has the means to conduct business in order to generate revenue. While this unfortunate situation was prompted by a change in the law, the bar/restaurant will not be able to avoid its obligation to pay for the product. That is so because while paying for the product was undoubtedly made more difficult by the change in the law and the resulting decrease in revenue, it is not objectively impossible. Stated differently, the fact that the bar/restaurant may not be able to sell the product during the shut down does not actually preclude it from purchasing the product and thus, the impossibility doctrine is not applicable.

In the second example, the party that engaged the catering company has a better chance of avoiding operation of the contract and any payment obligation on the basis of impossibility. Unlike the situation in the first example, the conference and thus the contract would have been scheduled to occur on a specific day. When the law changed to prohibit large gatherings, the conference legally could not proceed and thus the contract could not be performed. While the law changed in both examples, only in the second example did the change render performance impossible.

Assessing your contractual obligations.

The effect COVID-19 will have on commercial transactions and parties’ contractual obligations is bound to be varied and unpredictable. As businesses begin to rethink business as usual and attempt to adjust to the current market landscape, they would be well-advised to review their contracts and consult counsel on the operation of any force majeure clause and the applicability of the impossibility doctrine.

If you have questions, please contact Partner Meghan E. Tepas at mtepas@freeborn.com or 312-360-6454 or visit [Freeborn’s COVID-19 webpage](#).



ABOUT THE AUTHOR



Meghan E. Tepas
Partner

Chicago Office
(312) 360-6454
mtepas@freeborn.com

Meghan is passionate about litigation and takes a thoughtful and creative approach to each of her cases. She focuses on general breach of contract disputes and employment disputes including those involving breach of non-compete and non-solicit agreements. She has extensive experience representing clients facing claims of negligent hiring and breach of fiduciary duty relating to allegations of employee sexual harassment and sexual misconduct. Meghan also counsels start-ups and small businesses with respect to vendor, customer, and employee contracts.

140+ Attorneys. 5 Offices.

Freeborn & Peters LLP is a full-service law firm with international capabilities and offices in Chicago, Ill.; New York, Ny; Richmond, Va.; Springfield, Ill.; and Tampa, Fla. Freeborn is always looking ahead and seeking to find better ways to serve its clients. It takes a proactive approach to ensure its clients are more informed, prepared and able to achieve greater success – not just now, but also in the future. While the firm serves clients across a very broad range of sectors, it has also pioneered an interdisciplinary approach that serves the specific needs of targeted industries.

Freeborn's major achievements in litigation are reflective of the firm's significant growth over the last several years and its established reputation as a Litigation Powerhouse®. Freeborn has one of the largest litigation departments among full-service firms of its size – currently with more than 90 litigators, which represents about two-thirds of the firm's lawyers.

Freeborn is a firm that genuinely lives up to its core values of integrity, effectiveness, teamwork, caring and commitment, and embodies them through high standards of client service and responsive action. Its lawyers build close and lasting relationships with clients and are driven to help them achieve their legal and business objectives.

For more information visit: www.freeborn.com

CHICAGO

311 South Wacker Drive
Suite 3000
Chicago, IL 60606
(312) 360-6000
(312) 360-6520 fax

NEW YORK

230 Park Avenue
Suite 630
New York, NY 10169
(212) 218-8760
(212) 218-8761 fax

SPRINGFIELD

217 East Monroe Street
Suite 202
Springfield, IL 62701
(217) 535-1060
(217) 535-1069 fax

RICHMOND

901 East Byrd Street
Suite 950
Richmond, VA 23219
(804) 644-1300
(804) 644-1354 fax

TAMPA

1 Tampa City Center
201 North Franklin Street
Suite 3550
Tampa, FL 33602
(813) 488-2920

Disclaimer: This publication is made available for educational purposes only, as well as to provide general information about the law, not specific legal advice. It does not establish an attorney/client relationship between you and Freeborn & Peters LLP, and should not be used as a substitute for competent legal advice from a licensed professional in your state.

© 2020 Freeborn & Peters LLP. All rights reserved. Permission is granted to copy and forward all articles and text as long as proper attribution to Freeborn & Peters LLP is provided and this copyright statement is reproduced.